DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Plaintiff,

٧.

JACK PALMER, et al.,

DUANE C. TIPTON,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3:09-CV-198-RCJ(VPC)

ORDER

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion For Reconsideration requesting relief from Judgment/Order (#19) filed on August 2, 2010.

Upon considering Tipton's arguments, all records on file and the relevant law, the court finds no basis to reconsider the Courts Order(#17) or Judgment (#18) entered on July 15, 2010.

LEGAL STANDARD

Motions to reconsider are generally avoided. <u>See e.g., United States v. Mills</u>, 810 F.2d 907, 909 (9th Cir. 1987) (stating that "[t]he law of the case doctrine provides that in order to maintain consistency during the course of a single case, reconsideration of questions previously decided should be avoided."); <u>see also Earl Old Person v. Brown</u>, 312 F.3d 1036, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (stating that exceptions to the law of the case doctrine include the following: (1) the prior decision is clearly erroneous and its enforcement would work a manifest injustice; (2) intervening controlling authority; and (3) substantially different evidence). That notwithstanding, Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) provides that "[o]n motion and upon such terms as are just, the court may relieve a party . . . from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the

	Case 3:09-cv-00198-RCJ-VPC Document 20 Filed 08/13/10 Page 2 of 2
1	following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect"
2	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Tipton's Motion for Reconsideration (#19) is
3	DENIED.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: This 13 th day of August, 2010.
6 7	O Cana
8	ROBERT C. JONES
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	